|  |
| --- |
| ANHÅLLAN OM DISPUTATION *Application for public defence OF A DOCTORAL THESIS*Utgångspunkten för Nämnden för utbildning och forskarutbildnings (NUF) granskning av anhållanden om disputation är dokumentet *Bestämmelser och riktlinjer för utbildning på grundnivå, avancerad nivå och forskarnivå vid Jönköping University (BRJU).* Enligt BRJU ska berörd fackhögskola, genom doktorandens huvudhandledare i samråd med vd eller den som vd delegerat frågan till, skriftligen anhålla om disputation till NUF i enlighet med NUF:s riktlinjer. NUF har beslutat att anhållan ska göras på denna blankett.*The starting point for the Board of Education and Research Education’s (NUF) review of applications for public defence of a doctoral thesis is “Regulations and guidelines for first-, second- and third-cycle education at Jönköping University (BRJU)”. According to BRJU, such applications must be made in writing to NUF by the doctoral student’s principal supervisor in consultation with the dean of the doctoral student’s school or a person appointed by the dean. BRJU also states that said applications must be made in accordance with guidelines issued by NUF. NUF has decided that this form is to be used when applying.* **Anhållan ska skickas till högskolans registratur för diarieföring och vara NUF tillhanda senast tio dagar före det ordinarie sammanträde som infaller två månader innan planerad disputation**. NUF behandlar endast **kompletta** anhållanden. För att underlätta diarieföringen ska anhållan, inklusive bifogade dokument, skickas in i enkelsidiga exemplar.***The application is to be sent to the University’s registry for registration and reach NUF no later than ten days before the regular meeting that falls two months before the planned public defence.*** *NUF will only process* ***complete*** *applications.**The application, including enclosures, must be submitted in single-sided format to facilitate registration.* |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Doktorand *Doctoral student*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Namn *Name*      | Personnummer *Personal identity number*      |
| E-postadress *Email address*      |
| Fackhögskola*School*[ ]  JIBS [ ]  JTH [ ]  HHJ [ ]  HLK  | Extern forskarskola eller annat lärosäte*External graduate school or other higher education institution*      |
| Forskarutbildningsämne *Third-cycle subject area*      |
| Avhandlingens titel *Thesis title*      |
| Huvudhandledare *Principal supervisor*      | Lärosäte *Higher education institution*      | E-postadress *Email address*      |
| Biträdande handledare *Assistant supervisor*      | Lärosäte *Higher education institution*      | E-postadress *Email address*      |
| Biträdande handledare *Assistant supervisor*      | Lärosäte *Higher education institution*      | E-postadress *Email address*      |
| Biträdande handledare *Assistant supervisor*      | Lärosäte *Higher education institution*      | E-postadress *Email address*      |

 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| TID OCH PLATS FÖR DISPUTATIONEN *Time and location for the public Defence*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Datum *Date*      | Tid *Time*      |
| Plats, inklusive lokal *Location, including room number/name*      |

 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ORDFÖRANDE VID DISPUTATIONEN *Chair AT the public Defence*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Namn *Name*      | Akademisk titel *Academic title*      |
| Lärosäte *Higher education institution*      |
| [ ]  Val av ordförande uppfyller BRJU. *The proposed chair meets the requirements set out in BRJU.* |

 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| OPPONENT *EXTERNAL REVIEWER*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Namn *Name*      | Akademisk titel *Academic title*      | [ ]  Man *Male* [ ]  Kvinna *Female*[ ]  Annan könsidentitet*Uses a different term* |
| Lärosäte *Higher education institution*      | E-postadress *Email address*      |
| Redogör för den föreslagna opponentens kompetens i relation till doktorandens avhandling. [Här finns goda exempel på redogörelser.](https://jonkopinguniversity.sharepoint.com/sites/Newintranet/Files/Good%20examples_%20competence_examining%20committee%20member%20and%20external%20reviewer.pdf)*Describe the proposed external reviewer’s competence in relation to the doctoral student’s thesis.* [*Please follow this link for good examples of descriptions.*](https://jonkopinguniversity.sharepoint.com/sites/Newintranet/Files/Good%20examples_%20competence_examining%20committee%20member%20and%20external%20reviewer.pdf)      |
| [ ]  Val av opponent uppfyller BRJU.*The proposed external reviewer meets the requirements set out in BRJU.* |

 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| LEDAMÖTER I BETYGSNÄMNDEN *Members of the examining committee*Fackhögskolan ska nominera tre ledamöter till betygsnämnden. [Här finns goda exempel på redogörelser av den föreslagna ledamotens kompetens i relation till doktorandens avhandling.](https://jonkopinguniversity.sharepoint.com/sites/Newintranet/Files/Good%20examples_%20competence_examining%20committee%20member%20and%20external%20reviewer.pdf)*The school is to nominate three examining committee members.* [*Please follow this link for good examples of how to describe the proposed examining committee member's competence in relation to the doctoral student’s thesis.*](https://jonkopinguniversity.sharepoint.com/sites/Newintranet/Files/Good%20examples_%20competence_examining%20committee%20member%20and%20external%20reviewer.pdf)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Namn *Name*      | Akademisk titel *Academic title*      | [ ]  Man *Male* [ ]  Kvinna *Female*[ ]  Annan könsidentitet*Uses a different term* |
| Lärosäte (Om JU, ange fackhögskola) *Higher education institution (If JU, indicate school)*      | E-postadress *Email address*      |
| Redogör för den föreslagna ledamotens kompetens i relation till doktorandens avhandling. *Describe the proposed committee member’s competence in relation to the doctoral student’s thesis.*       |
| Namn *Name*      | Akademisk titel *Academic title*      | [ ]  Man *Male* [ ]  Kvinna *Female*[ ]  Annan könsidentitet*Uses a different term* |
| Lärosäte (Om JU, ange fackhögskola) *Higher education institution (If JU, indicate school)*      | E-postadress *Email address*      |
| Redogör för den föreslagna ledamotens kompetens i relation till doktorandens avhandling. *Describe the proposed committee member’s competence in relation to the doctoral student’s thesis.*      |
| Namn *Name*      | Akademisk titel *Academic title*      | [ ]  Man *Male* [ ]  Kvinna *Female*[ ]  Annan könsidentitet*Uses a different term* |
| Lärosäte (Om JU, ange fackhögskola) *Higher education institution (If JU, indicate school)*      | E-postadress *Email address*      |
| Redogör för den föreslagna ledamotens kompetens i relation till doktorandens avhandling.*Describe the proposed committee member’s competence in relation to the doctoral student’s thesis.*      |
| [ ]  Val av ledamöter uppfyller BRJU.*The proposed examining committee meets the requirements set out in BRJU.* |
| Ange skäl till ev. avvikelse från BRJU.*State the reasons for any deviation from BRJU.*      |

 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Suppleant I BETYGSNÄMNDEN *DEPUTY MEMBER OF THE EXAMINING COMMITTEE*Fackhögskolan ska nominera minst en suppleant. Suppleanten måste uppfylla de krav som anges för ordinarie ledamöter i BRJU.*The school is to nominate at least one deputy. The deputy must meet the requirements for full members set out in BRJU.*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Namn *Name*      | Akademisk titel *Academic title*      | [ ]  Man *Male* [ ]  Kvinna *Female*[ ]  Annan könsidentitet*Uses a different term* |
| Lärosäte (Om JU, ange fackhögskola) *Higher education institution (If JU, indicate school)*      | E-postadress *Email address*      |
| Redogör för den föreslagna suppleantens kompetens i relation till doktorandens avhandling. [Här finns goda exempel på redogörelser.](https://jonkopinguniversity.sharepoint.com/sites/Newintranet/Files/Good%20examples_%20competence_examining%20committee%20member%20and%20external%20reviewer.pdf)*Describe the proposed deputy’s competence in relation to the doctoral student’s thesis.* [*Please follow this link for good examples of descriptions.*](https://jonkopinguniversity.sharepoint.com/sites/Newintranet/Files/Good%20examples_%20competence_examining%20committee%20member%20and%20external%20reviewer.pdf)      |
| [ ]  Val av suppleant uppfyller BRJU.*The proposed deputy meets the requirements set out in BRJU.* |

 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| KÖNSFÖRDELNING – opponent, BETYGSNÄMND OCH SUPPLEANT *GENDER DISTRIBUTION – EXTERNAL REVIEWER, EXAMINING COMMITTEE, AND DEPUTY* Vid nominering av opponent, betygsnämnd och suppleant ska fackhögskolan eftersträva en så jämn könsfördelning som möjligt. Om skillnaden mellan antalet kvinnor och män bland de fem nominerade är större än 1, måste fackhögskolan uppge skälen till detta.*When proposing candidates for the roles of external reviewer and examining committee member (deputy member included), the school must try to achieve as balanced a gender distribution as possible. If the difference between the number of women and men among the five nominees is greater than 1, the school must state the reasons for this.*

|  |
| --- |
| Skäl till avvikande könsfördelning:*Reasons for departing from the gender distribution requirement:*      |

 |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| OBLIGATORISKA BILAGOR *Required ENCLOSURES*

|  |
| --- |
| [ ]  Jävsdeklaration från de som föreslås som opponent, betygsnämndsledamöter och suppleant. NUF:s jävsdeklarationsblankett ska användas. Fackhögskolan ansvarar för att de nominerade får tillgång till dokumentet *Bestämmelser rörande jäv vid Jönköping University* (dnr 2018/563-14).*Declaration of conflicts of interest completed by the proposed external reviewer and members of the examining committee (deputy member included). NUF’s declaration of conflicts of interest form must be used. The school is responsible for ensuring that the nominees have access to the document “Rules relating to disqualification at Jönköping University” (reg. no. 2018/563-14).* |
| [ ]  Signerat protokoll från slutseminariet*Signed minutes of the final review* |
| [ ]  Utdrag ur Ladok som styrker antal erhållna kurspoäng*Transcript from Ladok verifying the number of course credits completed*Ange hur många kurspoäng som krävs för den aktuella examen:      *State how many course credits are required for the relevant degree:*  |
| [ ]  Kort sammanfattning av avhandlingen, max en sida *Brief summary of the thesis, maximum one page* |

 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Godkännande för framläggning *approval for public defence*

|  |
| --- |
| Härmed intygas:*It is hereby confirmed:** att anhållan om disputation är komplett,*that the application for public defence is complete,*
* att doktoranden uppfyller kravet gällande erhållna kurspoäng och

*that the doctoral student has completed the required number of course credits, and** att avhandlingen är godkänd för offentlig framläggning.*that the thesis is approved for public defence.*

Jönköping Datum Date |
|   |
| Namnförtydligande/Print name |  | Namnförtydligande/Print name |  |
| Underskrift och namnförtydligande från huvudhandledare*Signature and print name of principal supervisor* |  | Underskrift och namnförtydligande från vd (eller av vd utsedd enligt delegation)*Signature and print name of dean (or a person authorised to act on behalf of the dean)* |

 |